

**BEFORE
MEGHALAYA STATE ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION
SHILLONG**

**PETITION FOR
DETERMINATION OF GENERATION TARIFF FOR
MLHEP & OLD PLANTS INCLUDING SONAPANI
FOR FY 2020-21**

FILED BY



MePGCL

Generating Clean And Green Energy

**MEGHALAYA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION
LIMITED**

Lum Jingshai, Short Round Road, Shillong - 793 001

BEFORE THE HON'BLE MEGHALAYA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
At its office at Lower Lachumiere, Shillong – 793001

File/Petition No.: _____

IN THE MATTER OF

DETERMINATION OF GENERATION TARIFF FOR MYNTDU LESHKA POWER STATION AND OLD PLANTS INCLUDING SONAPANI FOR FY 2020-21 UNDER THE MEGHALAYA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (MULTI YEAR TARIFF) REGULATIONS, 2014 AND UNDER SECTIONS 62 & 64 READ WITH SECTION 86 OF THE ELECTRICITY ACT 2003.

AND IN THE MATTER OF

MEGHALAYA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED, LUMJINGSHAI, SHORT ROUND ROAD, SHILLONG – 793001, MEGHALAYA

PETITIONER

The Petitioner respectfully submits as under:

1. In exercising its powers conferred under sections 131 and 133 of the Electricity Act 2003, the State Government of Meghalaya notified "The Meghalaya Power Sector Reforms Transfer Scheme 2010" on 31st March 2010 leading to restructuring and unbundling of the erstwhile Meghalaya State Electricity Board (MeSEB) into four entities namely
 - a. **Meghalaya Energy Corporation Limited (MeECL)**: the Holding Company;
 - b. **Meghalaya Power Distribution Corporation Limited (MePDCL)**: the Distribution Utility;
 - c. **Meghalaya Power Generation Corporation Limited (MePGCL)**: the Generation Utility;
 - d. **Meghalaya Power Transmission Corporation Limited (MePTCL)**: the Transmission Utility.
2. However, the holding company – MeECL - carried out the functions of distribution, generation and transmission utilities from 1st April 2010 onwards, even after restructuring. Therefore, through notification dated 31st March 2012, the State Government notified an amendment to The Power Sector Reforms Transfer Scheme leading to effective unbundling of MeECL into MeECL (Holding Company), MePDCL (Distribution Utility), MePGCL (Generation Utility) and MePTCL (Transmission Utility) from 1st April 2012.
3. On 23rd December 2013, the Government of Meghalaya issued the latest transfer scheme notification thereby notifying the Assets and Liabilities as on 1st April 2010 to be vested in MeECL. Subsequently, the Government of Meghalaya notified the 4th Amendment to the Notified Transfer Scheme dated 31st March 2010 on 29th April 2015, wherein the opening balances of all the four entities namely, MePGCL, MePTCL, MePDCL and MeECL as on 1st April 2012 were notified.
4. MePGCL has begun segregated commercial operations as an independent entity from 1st April 2013.
5. The expenses of the holding company – MeECL - is apportioned equally among the generation, transmission and distribution utilities since the MeECL is an administrative setup for all the three subsidiaries and undertakes common corporate functions of the three companies.
6. The **Meghalaya State Electricity Regulatory Commission** (hereinafter referred to as "MSERC" or "the Hon'ble Commission") is an independent statutory body constituted under the provisions of Part – X (Sections 76 to 109) of the Electricity Act (EA), 2003. The Hon'ble Commission is vested with the authority of regulating the power sector in the state, inter alia, including determination of tariff for electricity consumers.

Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

7. In exercise of its powers, MSERC had determined the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for MePGCL and tariff for FY 2017-18 in its tariff order dated 31st March 2017 for old plants including Sonapani and tariff order dated 30th March 2017 for Myntdu Leshka HEP, in accordance with the MSERC Tariff Regulations, 2014. The accounts for FY 2017-18 have been audited and the statutory audit report is available with the Licensee. However, the C&AG audit is in process. Keeping in mind the regulations which state the requirement of audited accounts and audit report by C&AG, MePGCL will file the true up for FY 2017-18 at the earliest once the C&AG Audit is completed. The utility prays for leave of submission of true up petition of FY 2017-18 for the time being.
8. Under MSERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2014, MePGCL filed MYT petition for Annual Revenue Requirement for the period of FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21. In respect to the said petition ,MYT order was issued by Hon'ble Commission on 31st March 2018.
9. The company also filed the petition for Mid Term Review of Business Plan for FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 vide letter No. MePGCL/D/GEN/Misc-43/2008/Pt-XIII/34 dated 2nd September,2019. However, the Hon'ble Commission in its letter No MSERC/MePGCL/Case No. 4 of 2019/2019/91 dated 12th November, 2019 did not consider the petition for midterm review of Business Plan petition. The same petition would have served as base for the midterm review of ARR for remaining control period.
10. In accordance with the directives of the Hon'ble Commission and MSERC MYT Regulations,2014, MePGCL is filing a separate petition for 1) Old Plants & Sonapani, 2) Myntdu-Leshka, and 3) Lakroh.
11. Now, based on the provisions of Regulation 6) b) of the Tariff Regulations, 2014, MePGCL also files this petition for revision of tariff for FY 2020-21 based on approved AFC of FY 2020-21 in the order dated 31st March 2018 and pending adjustment of true up of previous years and subsequent review petitions filed by the company. Before the issue of next tariff order, any further orders from Hon'ble Commission on the gaps of past years for MePGCL shall be considered as applicable for adjustment in the Net ARR and determination of tariff for FY 2020-21.
12. MePGCL is filing a separate petition for New Umtru HEP for determination of AFC for FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 and tariff for FY 2020-21 based on the final audited capital cost.
13. The Board of Directors of MePGCL has accorded approval for filing of this petition and authorized the undersigned to file the petition accordingly. The copy of the Board's resolution is hereby enclosed as **Annexure - A**.
14. The petitioner, therefore, humbly prays before the Hon'ble Commission to pass appropriate orders on the following:
 - a. Approval of tariff for FY 2020-21 for 1) Old Plants including Sonapani, 2) Myntdu- Leshka, and 3) Lakroh as proposed in this petition
 - b. To pass such orders, as the Hon'ble Commission may deem fit and proper and necessary, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case.
 - c. To condone any inadvertent omissions, errors & shortcomings and permit the petitioner to add/change/ modify/ alter this filing and make further submissions as required.

Comment [TKM1]:

(A Lyngdoh)
Superintending Engineer
(Project Monitoring)
For and on behalf Meghalaya Power Generation Corporation Ltd

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Provisions of Law for Revision of Tariff	1
2. Revision of Tariff for Leshka & Old Plants including Sonapani FY 2020-21.....	2
2.1 Regulatory Provisions.....	2
2.2 Total Gap to be recovered through Tariff in FY 2020-21	4
2.3 Capacity Charge and Energy Charge for Leshka.....	4
2.4 Capacity Charge and Energy Charge for Old Plants including Sonapani	5
2.5 Tariff for Lakroh and New Umtru HEP for FY 2020-21.....	7
2.5.1 Tariff for Lakroh	7
2.5.2 Tariff for New Umtru HEP	7

List of Tables

Table 1: Gaps to be passed on in Tariff of FY 2020-21	4
Table 2: Carrying Cost Calculation for MLHEP	5
Table 3: Annual Fixed Charges for FY 2019-20 – Myndtu Leshka HEP (INR Cr.)	5
Table 4: Fixed and Energy Charges for Myndtu Leshka HEP FY 2019-20	5
Table 5: Carrying Cost Calculation for MLHEP	6
Table 6: Annual Fixed Charges for FY 2020-21: Old Plants Including Sonapani	6
Table 7: AFC, Capacity charges and Energy charges for FY 2020-21	7

1. Introduction

MePGCL filed the petition for Mid Term Review of Business Plan for FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 via letter No MEPGCL/D/GEN/Misc-43/2008/Pt-XIII/34 dated 2nd September, 2019. Based on the midterm review of Business Plan, audited accounts of FY 2017-18 and provisional accounts of FY 2018-19, the company was supposed to file the petition for midterm review of AFC for remaining control period.

However, the Hon'ble Commission in its letter No MSERC/MePGCL/Case No. 4 of 2019/2019/91 dated 12th November, 2019 did not consider the petition for midterm review of Business Plan petition. As a result, the MePGCL is filing the petition for revision of tariff for FY 2020-21 based on AFC of FY 2020-21 as approved by the Hon'ble Commission in its order dated 31st March 2018 and pending adjustment of true up of previous years and subsequent review petitions filed by the company.

1.1 Provisions of Law for Revision of Tariff

1.1.1 The Hon'ble Commission had notified the Meghalaya State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2014 on 25th September 2014. It is submitted that Regulation 1.4 of the Meghalaya State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2014 states as under:

" 1.4 They shall be applicable for the determination of tariff effective from April 1, 2015 in all cases covered under these Regulations. Provided that for the purpose of review or of truing up of revenues and expenses pertaining to FYs prior to 2015-16, the provisions under MSERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2011 shall apply."

1.1.2 Regulation (6) (b) of the said Regulations lays down the general guiding principles for revision of tariff and the provisions of the said Regulations are reproduced below for reference:

"6 Accounting statement and filing under MYT

b) From the first year of the Control Period and onwards, the Petition shall comprise of:

- i. Truing Up for previous years under Meghalaya State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2011 in accordance with these Regulations;*
- ii. Revenue from the sale of power at existing tariffs and charges for the ensuing year;*
- iii. Revenue gap for the ensuing year calculated based on ARR approved in the Tariff Order or MYT Order and truing up for the previous year;*
- iv. Application for revision of tariff for the ensuing year"*

1.1.3 Submissions before the Hon'ble Commission

MePGCL hereby submits the petition under section 61 and 62(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003, and MSERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2014 for approval of Revision of Tariff for FY 2020-21.

2. Revision of Tariff for Myntdu Leshka & Old Plants including Sonapani FY 2020-21

2.1 Regulatory Provisions

MePGCL submits that based on the Annual Fixed Cost approved by Hon'ble Commission, it will calculate the capacity charge and energy charge based on following provisions of the MYT Regulations, 2014:

“57 Computation and payment of capacity charge and energy charge for Hydro generating stations.

57.1 Capacity Charges:

(1) The fixed cost of a hydro generating station shall be computed on annual basis, based on norms specified under these regulations, and recovered on monthly basis under capacity charge (inclusive of incentive) and energy charge, which shall be payable by the beneficiaries in proportion to their respective allocation in the saleable capacity of the generating station, that is to say, in the capacity excluding the free power to the home State:

Provided that during the period between the date of commercial operation of the first unit of the generating station and the date of commercial operation of the generating station, the annual fixed cost shall provisionally be worked out based on the latest estimate of the completion cost for the generating station, for the purpose of determining the capacity charge and energy charge payment during such period.

(2) The capacity charge (inclusive of incentive) payable to a hydro generating station for a calendar month shall be

$$= AFC \times 0.5 \times NDM / NDY \times (PAFM / NAPAF) \text{ (in Rupees)}$$

Where,

AFC = Annual fixed cost specified for the year, in Rupees.

NAPAF= Normative plant availability factor in percentage

NDM = Number of days in the month

NDY = Number of days in the year

PAFM = Plant availability factor achieved during the month, in percentage

(3) The PAFM shall be computed in accordance with the following formula:

$$PAFM = 10000 \times \sum_{i=1} DCi / \{ N \times IC \times (100 - AUX) \} \%$$

Where,

AUX = Normative auxiliary energy consumption in percentage

DCi = Declared capacity (in ex-bus MW) for the ith day of the Month which the station can deliver for at least three (3) hours, as certified by the nodal load dispatch centre after the day is over.

IC = Installed capacity (in MW) of the complete generating station

Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

N = Number of days in the month

57.2 Energy Charges:

(1) The energy charge shall be payable by every beneficiary for the total energy scheduled to be supplied to the beneficiary, excluding free energy, if any, during the calendar month, on ex power plant basis, at the computed energy charge rate. Total Energy charge payable to the generating company for a month shall be:

= (Energy charge rate in Rs. / kWh) x {Scheduled energy (ex-bus) for the month in kWh} x (100 – FEHS) / 100.

(2) Energy charge rate (ECR) in Rupees per kWh on ex-power plant basis, for a hydro generating station, shall be determined up to three decimal places based on the following formula, subject to the provisions of clause (4):

ECR = AFC x 0.5 x 10 / { DE x (100 – AUX) x (100 – FEHS) }

Where,

DE = Annual design energy specified for the hydro generating station, In MWh, subject to the provision in clause (6) below.

FEHS = Free energy for home State as fixed from time to time, by competent authority.

(3) In case actual total energy generated by a hydro generating station during a year is less than the design energy for reasons beyond the control of the generating company, the following treatment shall be applied on a rolling basis:

(i) in case the energy shortfall occurs within ten years from the date of commercial operation of a generating station, the ECR for the year following the year of energy shortfall shall be computed based on the formula specified in clause (2) with the modification that the DE for the year shall be considered as equal to the actual energy generated during the year of the shortfall, till the energy charge shortfall of the previous year has been made up, after which normal ECR shall be applicable;

(ii) in case the energy shortfall occurs after ten years from the date of commercial operation of a generating station, the following shall apply:

Suppose the specified annual design energy for the station is DE MWh, and the actual energy generated during the concerned (first) and the following (second) financial years is A1 and A2 MWh respectively, A1 being less than DE. Then, the design energy to be considered in the formula in clause (5) of this Regulation for calculating the ECR for the third financial year shall be moderated as (A1 + A2 – DE) MWh, subject to a maximum of DE MWh and a minimum of A1 MWh.

(iii) Actual energy generated (e.g. A1, A2) shall be arrived at by multiplying the net metered energy sent out from the station by 100 / (100 – AUX).

(4) In case the energy charge rate (ECR) for a hydro generating station, as computed in clause (5) above, exceeds eighty paise per kWh, and the actual saleable energy in a

year exceeds { DE x (100 – AUX) x (100 – FEHS) / 10000} MWh, the Energy charge for the energy in excess of the above shall be billed at eighty paise per kWh only:

Provided that in a year following a year in which total energy generated was less than the design energy for reasons beyond the control of the generating company, the energy charge rate shall be reduced to eighty paise per kWh after the energy charge shortfall of the previous year has been made up.

Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

(6) The concerned Load Despatch Centre shall finalise the schedules for the hydro generating stations, in consultation with the beneficiaries, for optimal utilization of all the energy declared to be available, which shall be scheduled for all beneficiaries in proportion to their respective allocations in the generating station.”

2.2 Total Gap to be recovered through Tariff in FY 2020-21

It may be noted that the approved AFC as well as the gaps of previous year(s) due to true up and review petition on true up order is to be cumulatively recovered through the tariff of FY 2020-21. In the review petition on the true up order for FY 2015-16 dated 25th September 2018, the company had requested the Hon'ble Commission to allow an additional gap of Rs. 182.22 Cr. **The utility had segregated this additional gap among the old plants including Sonpani & Myntdu Lehka power plants in proportion to their capacities.** The order on the above mentioned petition is yet to be passed.

The Hon'ble Commission on 18th November, 2019 issued the generation true up FY 2016-17 order which will be adjusted in the Net ARR of FY 2020-21. The Hon'ble Commission has allowed a surplus of Rs 60.50 Cr for FY 2016-17. Since no segregation for old plants and MLHEP has been given for the above true up order, the the utility has segregated this surplus among the old plants including Sonapani & Myntdu Lehka power plants in proportion to their capacities.

Based on the above submissions, the final gap due to true up and review petition on true up orders which needs to be passed on in the tariff of FY 2020-21 is given below:

Table 1: Gaps to be passed on in Tariff of FY 2020-21

Particulars	Amount (in INR Cr)
Additional Claim as per Review Petition of True Up FY 2015-16 of MePGCL (a)	182.22
Gap/(Surplus) from True up of FY 2016-17 of MePGCL (MLHEP and Old Plants Combined) (b)	(60.50)
Total Gap (c=a+b)	121.72

2.3 Capacity Charge and Energy Charge for Myntdu Leshka

It may be noted that the approved AFC as well as the gaps of previous years due to true up and review petition on true up order is to be cumulatively recovered through the tariff of FY 2020-21 for MLHEP. The Hon'ble Commission in its order dated 31st March, 2018 had approved the AFC for FY 2020-21 as INR 162.59 Cr. for MLHEP.

In the review petition on the true up order for FY 2015-16 dated 25th September, 2018, MePGCL had requested the Hon'ble Commission to allow an additional gap of INR. 182.22 Cr. The share of Myntdu Leshka HEP from the gap of FY 2015-16 review on true up is Rs 75.65 Cr.

The Hon'ble Commission has issued the generation true up FY 2016-17 order on 18th November, 2019 which will be adjusted in the Net ARR of FY 2020-21. The Hon'ble Commission has allowed a surplus of Rs 60.50 Cr for FY 2016-17. The share of Myntdu Leshka HEP from the surplus of Rs 60.50 Cr is Rs 25.12 Cr

Both the above-mentioned petitions were filed by MePGCL and the orders were due in the last financial year. The delay in the issue of orders has affected the liquidity scenario and the company had to resort to short term borrowing to meet its financial obligations. Thus, keeping this in mind, MePGCL is proposing a carrying cost on the above gap based on the lending rate as on 01.04.2019. The carrying cost computation has been given below:

Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 2: Carrying Cost Calculation for MLHEP

Particulars	Amount (In INR Cr)
Additional Claim as per Review Petition of True Up FY 2015-16 of MLHEP (1)	75.65
Gap from True up of FY 2016-17 of MLHEP (2)	(25.12)
Interest rate as on 01.04.2019 considered for carrying cost calculation (3)	13.80%
Carrying Cost due to delay in orders (sum of 1 & 2 *3)	6.97

This gap and the carrying cost will have an impact on the AFC requirement for FY 2020-21, and thereby the utility is requesting the Hon'ble Commission to allow the net AFC for FY 2020-21 for MLHEP, which comes up to INR 220.10 Cr. as shown below:

Table 3: Annual Fixed Charges for FY 2020-21 – Myntdu Leshka HEP (INR Cr.)

Particulars	FY 2020-21 (INR Cr.)
Annual Fixed Cost Approved by MSERC for FY 2020-21 (a)	162.59
Add: Additional Claim as per review petition for True up of FY 2015-16 (b)	75.65
Less: Gap (surplus) from True up of FY 2016-17 (c)	(25.12)
Carrying Cost due to delay in orders (d)	6.97
Net AFC for Computation of Tariff (e=a+b+c+d)	220.10

***Before the issue of next tariff order, any further orders from the Hon'ble Commission on the above gaps of past years for MePGCL shall be considered as applicable for adjustment in the Net ARR and determination of tariff for FY 2020-21**

Now, based on the Regulations, 50% of the Annual Fixed Cost is to be recovered as fixed charge and the balance is to be recovered as energy charge from the beneficiary. Therefore, the fixed charge and energy charge for MLHEP for FY 2020-21 is computed below:

Table 4: Fixed and Energy Charges for Myntdu Leshka HEP FY 2020-21

Particulars	FY 2020-21
Net AFC for Computation of Tariff (INR Cr.) (a)	220.10
Net Energy Generation in MUs for FY 2020-21 * (b)	410.22
Fixed Charge (INR Cr.) (c=a/2)	110.05
Energy Charge (INR /kWh) (d=a/2b)	2.68

*Energy Generation for computation of energy charge for Myntdu Leshka HEP is based on the approved Business plan for MePGCL dated 15th November, 2017 (Table 8 of the order)

Based on the above submissions, the petitioner humbly prays before the Hon'ble Commission to kindly approve the tariff of Myntdu Leshka Hydro Electric Project for FY 2020-21 as computed in the above table.

2.4 Capacity Charge and Energy Charge for Old Plants including Sonapani

Following the same approach followed in the previous MYT tariff orders, MePGCL proposes to recover the annual fixed cost for each station based on the capacity of each station for determining energy charges and fixed charges in FY 2020-21.

Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

It may be noted that the approved AFC as well as the gaps of previous years due to true up and review petition on true up order is to be cumulatively recovered through the tariff of FY 2020-21. The Hon'ble Commission in its order dated 31st March, 2018, had approved the AFC for FY 2020-21 as INR 33.32 Cr for old plants including Sonapani

In the review petition on the true up order for FY 2015-16 dated 25th September 2018, the Utility has requested the Hon'ble Commission (vide No. MePGCL/D/GEN/Misc-43/2008/Pt-XII/19 dated 30th November, 2018) to allow an additional gap of INR. 182.22 Cr. The share of old plants including Sonapani from the gap of FY 2015-16 review on true up is Rs 106.57 Cr.

The Hon'ble Commission on 18th November, 2019 issued the generation true up FY 2016-17 order which will be adjusted in the Net ARR of FY 2020-21. The Hon'ble Commission has allowed a surplus of Rs 60.50 Cr for FY 2016-17. The share of Old Plants including Sonapani from the surplus of Rs 60.50 Cr is Rs 35.38 Cr

Both the above-mentioned petitions were filed by MePGCL and the orders were due from the Hon'ble Commission in the last financial year. The delay in order has affected the liquidity scenario of the company and the utility had to resort to short term borrowing to meet its financial obligations. Thus, keeping this in mind, MePGCL is proposing a carrying cost on the above gaps based on the lending rate as on 01.04.2019. The carrying cost computation is given below:

Table 5: Carrying Cost Calculation for Old stations including Sonapani

Particulars	Amount (In INR Cr)
Additional Claim as per Review Petition of True Up FY 2015-16 of MePGCL (Old Plants including Sonapani) (1)	106.57
Gap from True up of FY 2016-17 of MePGCL (Old Plants including Sonapani) (2)	(35.38)
Interest rate as on 01.04.2019 considered for carrying cost calculation (3)	13.80%
Carrying Cost due to delay in orders (sum of 1-2 *3)	9.82

The net AFC for MePGCL's old plants including gap of previous years to be passed on for FY 2020-21 is summarized below:

Table 6: Annual Fixed Charges for FY 2020-21: Old Plants Including Sonapani

Particulars	FY 2020-21 (INR Cr.)
Annual Fixed Cost (AFC) Approved for FY 2020-21 (a)	33.32
Add: Additional Claim as per review petition for True up of FY 2015-16 (b)	106.57
Less: Gap (surplus) as per True up of FY 2016-17 (c)	(35.38)
Carrying Cost due to delay in orders (d)	9.82
Total AFC for FY 2020-21 (e=a+b+c+d)	114.33

***Before the issue of next tariff order, any further orders from Hon'ble Commission on the above gaps of past years for MePGCL shall be considered as applicable for adjustment in the Net ARR and determination of tariff for FY 2020-21**

Since the old plants have passed their useful life, MePGCL is requesting the Hon'ble Commission to consider the average of actual generation of last 6 years rather than design energy for computation of tariff in case of old plants to make it more realistic. The station- wise allotted Net Annual Fixed Cost proposed for recovery in FY 2020-21 is shown in the table below:

Table 7: AFC, Capacity charges and Energy charges for FY 2020-21

Sl. No	Name of the Power Station	MW	Projected Generation FY 2020-21 (Avg of last 6 years) (MU)	Annual Fixed Charges (INR Cr.)	Capacity Charges (INR Cr.)	Energy Charges (INR /Unit)
1.	Umiam I	36	98.82	23.19	11.60	1.17
2.	Umiam II	20	50.56	12.88	6.44	1.27
3.	Umiam III	60	115.74	38.65	19.33	1.67
4.	Umiam IV	60	178.60	38.65	19.33	1.08
5.	Mini Hydel (Sonapani)	1.5	6.58	0.97	0.47	0.74
	Total	177.5	450.30	114.33	57.16	1.27

The Umtru power plant has been under shutdown due to aging of machines and hydraulic structures, for which renovation and modernization has to be carried out. The station has not generated any power from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19 and is not expected to generate any power in FY 2020-21. The same has been approved by the Hon'ble Commission in its Business Plan order dated 15th November, 2018. Thus, the net share of AFC for Old Plants including Sonapani has been proposed to be recovered through the other old plants including Sonapani.

The total installed capacity of the old plants including Sonapani is 177.5 MW (excluding Umtru HEP) and the average generation from these plants is 450.30 MU. Following the similar approach adopted in the MYT tariff order, MePGCL proposes to recover the total annual fixed cost from the beneficiary in the Financial Year 2020-21 on these plants on the basis of their installed capacity and target energy generation as computed above.

Based on all the above submissions, the petitioner humbly prays before the Hon'ble Commission to kindly approve the tariff of Old Plants including Sonapani for FY 2020-21 as computed in the above table.

2.5 Tariff for Lakroh and New Umtru HEP for FY 2020-21

2.5.1 Tariff for Lakroh

The Lakroh HEP has achieved COD on 1st March, 2019. The final capital cost vetting is in process and the Utility will soon file the petition for approval of capital cost and AFC for FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 along with tariff determination for FY 2020-21. MePGCL prays before the Hon'ble Commission to continue with the levelised tariff of Rs 3.70 per unit as an interim arrangement.

2.5.2 Tariff for New Umtru HEP

The petitioner is filing separately the petition for approval of Capital Cost, AFC for MYT Control Period FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 and tariff for FY 2020-21 of New Umtru Hydro Electric Project (NUHEP) in November, 2019.



MEGHALAYA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED

Office of the Company Secretary

Corporate Identification Number: U40101ML2009SGC008392

Registered Office: Lumjingshai, Short Round Road, Shillong-793001

Telephone No: 0364-2591074; Fax no: 0364-2590355; Website address: www.meecl.nic.in

RELEVANT EXTRACT OF THE MINUTES OF THE 76TH MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF MEGHALAYA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED HELD ON THURSDAY THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2019 AT 12:00 NOON AND CONTINUED TILL 12:15 P.M AT THE REGISTERED OFFICE OF THE COMPANY AT LUMJINGSHAI, SHORT ROUND ROAD, SHILLONG-1, MEGHALAYA.

Resolution no.7

PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FIXED COST (AFC) AND GENERATION TARIFF FOR MLHEP & OLD PLANTS INCLUDING SONAPANI FOR FY 2020-21

“Resolved that the Board approved the Annual Fixed Cost amounting to Rs. 220.10 crore for filing petition for revision of tariff for FY 2020-21 before the Meghalaya State Electricity Regulatory Commission for 3 x 42 MW Myntdu Leshka HEP.

Resolved further that the Board approved the AFC for Old Stations including Sonapani amounting to Rs. 114.33 crore. for filing petition for revision of tariff for FY 2020-21 before the Meghalaya State Electricity Regulatory Commission.

Resolved further that the Board approved the Petition fee of Rs. 4,98,000/- (Rupees four lakh ninety eight thousand) only for MLHEP and Rs. 6,87,000/- (Rupees six lakh eighty seven thousand) only for Old Stations including Sonapani (total Rs. 11,85,000/-)

Resolved further that Shri A. Lyngdoh, Superintending Engineer (PM), Office of the Director (Generation) be and is hereby authorized by the Board to file the petition, submit documents, replies, etc. relating to the petition”.

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY
FOR, MEGHALAYA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LTD


Company Secretary



मेघालय MEGHALAYA

03AA 389794

BEFORE THE HON'BLE MEGHALAYA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILE/PETITION NO. ...

IN THE MATTER OF:

DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FIXED COST (AFC) AND GENERATION TARIFF FOR MLHEP AND OLD PLANTS INCLUDING SONAPANI FOR FY 2020-21 UNDER THE MEGHALAYA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (MULTI YEAR TARIFF) REGULATIONS, 2014 AND UNDER SECTIONS 62 & 64 READ WITH SECTION 86 OF THE ELECTRICITY ACT 2003.

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

MEGHALAYA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED, LUMJINGSHAI SHORT ROUND ROAD, SHILLONG - 793001, MEGHALAYA.

PETITIONER

AFFIDAVIT VERIFYING THE PETITION

I, Shri Amberlight Lyngdoh, aged about 56 years, son/ daughter of (L) H.R. Diengdoh, residing at MeECL Colony, Umiam, Ri-Bhoi District, and working as Superintending Engineer (PM), in the Office of the Director (Generation), MePGCL, Lumjingshai, Shillong,



15
SUBINSTRUMENT NO. 29/11/19
Dt. 29/11/19

Sl. No. 12 Date 27/11/18

Sold To.....

Stamp Vendor C Lyngdoh

D.C. Office Shilong



having its registered Office at Lum Jingshai, Short Round Road, Shillong do solemnly affirm and state as under:

1. That I am the Superintending Engineer (PM), at Meghalaya Power Generation Corporation Limited, the representative of the Petitioner in the above matter and I am duly authorized to make this affidavit.
2. That the statement made in the petition herein is based on petitioner company official record maintained in the ordinary course of business and I believe them to be true and correct.
3. That the documents attached with this affidavit are legible copies.


DEPONENT

VERIFICATION

Solemnly affirm at Shillong on this 29th day of November, 2019 that the contents of the above affidavit are true to my knowledge and belief and no part of it is false and no material has been concealed there from.


DEPONENT

